

| Mahons Point                     |                      |  |                      |                 |          |  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------|----------|--|
| Proposal Title :                 |                      | Planning Proposal to include additional permitted uses for 167 - 169 Blues Point Road,<br>McMahons Point   |                      |                 |          |  |
| Proposal Summ                    |                      | The planning proposal seeks to include the additional permitted uses of 'business premis<br>and 'office premises' at 167 and 169 Blues Point Road, McMahons Point. |                      |                 |          |  |
| PP Number :                      | PP_2015_NORTH        | L_005_00   | Dop File No :        | 15/07239        |          |  |
| roposal Details                  |                      |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Date Planning<br>Proposal Receiv | 01-May-2015<br>red : |  | LGA covered :        | North Sydney    |          |  |
| Region :                         | Metro(CBD)           |  | RPA :                | North Sydney    | Council  |  |
| State Electorate                 | NORTH SHORE          |  | Section of the Act : | 55 - Planning F | Proposal |  |
| LEP Type :                       | Spot Rezoning        |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Location Detail                  | S                    |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Street :                         | 167 Blues Point Road |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Suburb :                         | McMahons Point       | City :   | Sydney               | Postcode :      | 2060     |  |
| Land Parcel :                    | Lot C DP436985       |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Street :                         | 169 Blues Point Road |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Suburb :                         | McMahons Point       | City :   | Sydney               | Postcode :      | 2060     |  |
| Land Parcel :                    | Lot B DP436985       |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| DoP Planning                     | Officer Contact Deta | ils  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Contact Name :                   | Sandy Shewell        |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Contact Number                   | 0285754115           |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Contact Email :                  | sandy.shewell@pl     | anning.nsw.g   | ov.au                |                 |          |  |
| RPA Contact I                    | Details              |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Contact Name :                   | Scott Williamson     |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Contact Number                   | c: 0299368100        |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Contact Email :                  | scott.williamson@    | northsydney.   | nsw.gov.au           |                 |          |  |
| DoP Project N                    | anager Contact Deta  |  | -                    |                 |          |  |
| Contact Name :                   | -                    |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Contact Number                   | r:                   |  |                      |                 |          |  |
| Contact Email :                  |                      |  |                      |                 |          |  |

| Land Release Data  |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| Growth Centre  |  | Release Area Name :  |   |
| Regional / Sub<br>Regional Strategy :  |  | Consistent with Strategy :                                     |   |
| MDP Number :   |  | Date of Release :  |   |
| Area of Release<br>(Ha) :  |  | Type of Release (eg<br>Residential /<br>Employment land) :     |   |
| No. of Lots :  | 0  | No. of Dwellings<br>(where relevant) :                         | 0   |
| Gross Floor Area   | 0  | No of Jobs Created   | 4   |
| The NSW Government<br>Lobbyists Code of<br>Conduct has been<br>complied with :   | Yes  |  |   |
| If No, comment 🗄   | The Department of Planning and B<br>communication and meetings with<br>(East) has not met any lobbyist in<br>advised of any meetings between<br>proposal.  | h lobbyists has been complie<br>relation to this proposal, not | ed with' Metropolitan Region<br>r has the Director been |
| Have there been<br>meetings or<br>communications with<br>registered lobbyists? : | No   |  |   |
| If Yes, comment :  |  |  |   |
| Supporting notes   |  |  |   |
| Internal Supporting<br>Notes :   | North Sydney Council is seeking<br>functions under section 59 of the<br>EP&A Act). Delegation is conside   | Environmental Planning and                                     | Assessment Act 1979 (the                                |
|  | The proposal is for 2 residential lots known as 167 and 169 Blues Point Road, McMahons<br>Point, which is currently zoned R3 Medium Density Residential. Each site is currently<br>occupied by a 2 storey attached Victorian terrace house.<br>Both sites have a history of accommodating small scale commercial and office uses dating<br>back to the 1960s, albeit without development consent. To date, neither site has<br>satisfactorily made a justified claim to existing use rights. |  |   |
|  |  |  |   |
|  | 169 Blues Point Road is currently an extended period. Compliance a use.  | •  |   |
|  | 167 Blues Point Road is presently  | leased to a residential tenar                                  | nt.   |
| External Supporting<br>Notes :   |  |  |   |
| Adequacy Assessmen   | t  |  |   |
| Statement of the obj   | jectives - s55(2)(a)   |  |   |
| Is a statement of the ob   | jectives provided? Yes   |  |   |
| Comment :  | The objective of this proposal   | is to enable the use of 167 ar                                 | nd 169 Blues Point Road,                                |

| McMahons Point for office or business premises, whilst maintaining the flexibility for the sites to used for residential purposes. |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)   |   |  |  |  |
| Is an explanation of provis  | sions provided? Yes                           |  |  |  |
| Comment :  |   | o amend Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses to make 'business<br>premises' permissible for the 2 sites.   |  |  |
| Justification - s55 (2)(   | (c)   |  |  |  |
| a) Has Council's strategy  | been agreed to by the D                       | irector General? No  |  |  |
| b) S.117 directions identif  | ied by RPA :                                  | 2.3 Heritage Conservation  |  |  |
| * May need the Director G  | General's agreement                           | <ul> <li>3.1 Residential Zones</li> <li>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport</li> <li>6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements</li> <li>6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes</li> <li>6.3 Site Specific Provisions</li> <li>7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney</li> </ul> |  |  |
| Is the Director General  | l's agreement required?                       | No   |  |  |
| c) Consistent with Standa  | rd Instrument (LEPs) Or                       | der 2006 :   |  |  |
| d) Which SEPPs have the  | e RPA identified?                             | SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005   |  |  |
| e) List any other<br>matters that need to<br>be considered :   |   |  |  |  |
| Have inconsistencies with  | items a), b) and d) bein                      | g adequately justified? <b>Yes</b>   |  |  |
| If No, explain :   | The proposal is not<br>Directions.            | considered to be inconsistent with any SEPPs and section 117   |  |  |
| Mapping Provided - s   | 55(2)(d)                                      |  |  |  |
| Is mapping provided? No  |   |  |  |  |
| Comment :  | Map amendments a                              | re not required.   |  |  |
| Community consultat  | ion - s55(2)(e)                               |  |  |  |
| Has community consultat  | Has community consultation been proposed? Yes |  |  |  |
| Comment :  |   | will be undertaken in accordance with the Gateway determination.<br>It nature of the proposal, 14 days exhibition is considered sufficient.  |  |  |
|  |   | ed an indicative project timeline with a completion date of January<br>nt considers a 9 month project timeline for completion is adequate.   |  |  |
| Additional Director General's requirements   |   |  |  |  |
| Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No   |   |  |  |  |
| If Yes, reasons :  |   |  |  |  |
| Overall adequacy of t  | he proposal                                   |  |  |  |
| Does the proposal meet t   | he adequacy criteria? Ye                      | es   |  |  |
| If No, comment :   |   |  |  |  |

### **Proposal Assessment**

### ......

| Principal LEP:                                |   |
|---|---|
| Due Date : August 2013                        | 3   |
| Comments in<br>relation to Principal<br>LEP : | The North Sydney LEP 2013 was gazetted in August 2013.  |
| Assessment Criteria                           |   |
| Need for planning<br>proposal :               | The proponent has initiated the proposal to allow for the use of the sites as business or office premises, which is consistent with the surrounding neighbourhood.  |
|   | The proposal offers 7 options to enable business or office premises to be permissible on the sites, while retaining residential uses.   |
|   | Option 1 - Do nothing.<br>Both sites are local heritage items and could use cl 5.10. Both properties are in reasonably<br>good condition and it is likely that they will not require conservation works to the level<br>which would satisfy clause 5.10(10). Furthermore, both properties were originally<br>constructed for residential purposes which would create further difficulties in satisfying<br>clause 5.10(10).   |
|   | Option 2 - Rezone from R3 to B1<br>This option would allow a broader range of non-residential uses, however, it would<br>prohibit residential uses, except for shop-top housing. Rezoning to B1 would also trigger a<br>satisfactory arrangements clause within the North Sydney LEP that requires any<br>development consent in the B1 zone to have commercial premises located above ground<br>level.   |
|   | Option 3 - Rezone from R3 to B1 and add dwelling houses to schedule 1<br>The proponent considers this option to be an unduly complicated method of achieving the<br>permissible uses.   |
|   | Options 4,5,6 suggest amending the B1 or R3 land uses tables or removing the satisfactory arrangements clause. These options have far wider implications for the local government area and are not considered appropriate.  |
|   | Option 7 - The preferred option by the proponent and Council is to add business and office premises to schedule 1.  |
|   | The proposal has considered all possible options, and concludes option 7 is the most appropriate LEP amendment to achieve the desired outcome of permitting office premises and business premises, while continuing to permit residential uses.   |
|   | While it is noted that the sites represent a set of circumstances for which the use of schedule 1 may be appropriate, it is generally the Department's preference to apply a land use zone which reflects the intended land uses. Keeping the land use table and zoning as the primary tools for land use controls makes it easier for landowners and the community to determine what land uses are permissible on the land. Thus, Council should be encouraged to consider allocating an appropriate zone rather than using Schedule 1 for future proposals. |
|   |   |

| vicivianons Point   |   |   |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Consistency with<br>strategic planning<br>framework :   | state that governme<br>Council to grow the<br>minimal contribution<br>Draft Inner North Su<br>The sites are located<br>consistent with the<br>small cluster of sho            | d within an a<br>nt will work<br>office and re<br>n to local job<br>bregional Si<br>d in the Blue<br>intended fun<br>ps, services | in partnership with the City<br>esidential markets. Althougl<br>os, the proposal achieves th<br>trategy 2007<br>es Point Road Neighbourhood<br>action of a neighbourhood c<br>and housing. |  |
| Environmental social economic impacts :   |   | ot result in a  | ny impact on critical habitat<br>iunities or other habitats.   | or threatened species,   |
| Social and Economic Impacts<br>The proposal will have a positive economic impact by increasing the flexit<br>uses of the sites to reflect changing economic and market demands. |   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   | any negative social impact<br>onal compatible uses within  |  |
|   | impacts on adjoinin<br>Neighbourhood Cer<br>of various types co-<br>office premises is co<br>Heritage<br>A Heritage Impact S<br>office premises wou<br>future fit-out or sign | g properties<br>itre, which a<br>located with<br>onsidered to<br>tatement (HI<br>ild have a m<br>age works b                      | Iready comprises a high num<br>residential uses. Use of the<br>be consistent with the surr<br>S) has been prepared which<br>inimal impact of the heritage                                  | d within the Blues Point Road<br>mber of commercial premises<br>e sites as business premises or<br>rounding area.<br>In concludes that business or<br>e status of the sites. Should<br>commercial uses, they will be |
| Assessment Proces   | SS  |   |  |  |
| Proposal type :   | Routine   |   | Community Consultation<br>Period :   | 14 Days  |
| Timeframe to make<br>LEP :  | 9 months  |   | Delegation :   | RPA  |
| Public Authority<br>Consultation - 56(2)<br>(d) :   | Office of Environme   | nt and Herit  | age  |  |
| Is Public Hearing by th   | e PAC required?   | No  |  |  |
| (2)(a) Should the matte   | er proceed ?  | Yes   |  |  |
| If no, provide reasons :  | :   |   |  |  |
| Resubmission - s56(2)   | (b) : <b>No</b>   |   |  |  |

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

#### No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Documents

| Document File Name          | DocumentType Name        | Is Public |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| CouncilLetter.pdf           | Proposal Covering Letter | Yes       |
| PlanningProposal.pdf        | Proposal                 | Yes       |
| HeritageImpactStatement.pdf | Study                    | Yes       |

#### Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| S.117 directions:                     | 2.3 Heritage Conservation  |
|                                       | 3.1 Residential Zones  |
|                                       | 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport   |
|                                       | 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements   |
|                                       | 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes   |
|                                       | 6.3 Site Specific Provisions   |
|                                       | 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney  |
| Additional Information                | It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed, subject to the following conditions:   |
|                                       | 1. The planning proposal be publicly exhibited for a period of not less than 14 days.  |
|                                       | 2. Consultation is required under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act with the Office of<br>Environment and Heritage prior to exhibition and the outcome of this consultation is to<br>be included as part of the planning proposal when exhibited.       |
|                                       | Public authorities are to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal. The planning proposal should respond to this consultation.                     |
|                                       | If comment from the Office of Environment and Heritage is not received within 21 days,<br>Council is to exhibit the planning proposal and seek input from the relevant agencies<br>during the consultation period.                                     |
|                                       | 3. A public hearing is not required.   |
|                                       | 4. North Sydney Council be granted delegation to carry out the Minister's functions under section 59 of the EP&A Act 1979 to progress this planning proposal.  |
|                                       | 5. The planning proposal is to be finalised within 9 months from the date of the gateway determination.  |
| Supporting Reasons :                  | Due to the mixed residential and commercial nature of the adjoining properties and the absence of any impacts upon the surrounding properties, it is considered these sites are well placed to support low intensity business or office premises uses. |

|               | Λ                |         |
|---------------|------------------|---------|
| Signature:    | - Jilly          |         |
| Printed Name: | Tim Archer Date: | 15.5.15 |